

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,195	03/25/2004	Michael P. Galligan	4339/4358I (CON) 9678		
48226 BASF CATAL	7590 02/08/2007 YSTS LLC		EXAMINER		
101 WOOD AVENUE			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M		
ISELIN, NJ 08	830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	02/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/810,195		GALLIGAN ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ngoc-Yen M. N	guyen	1754	
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS
A SHORTENEI WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for reg - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. oly is specified above, the maximum statutory period hin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expine e. cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this commu O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status					
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Normal </u>	s action is non-fir	ormal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Cla	ims				
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	2-11,20,21,36-39 and 46 is/are pendication above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. 2-11,20,21,36-39 and 46 are subjects	wn from conside	ration.	rement.	
_	fication is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawi Applicant i Replacem	ng(s) filed on is/are: a) acc may not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be held tion is required if the	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co app	dgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority document rtified copies of the priority document pies of the certified copies of the priority plication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list	s have been reconstance have been reconstructed in the second of the second in the sec	eived. eived in Applicatio ave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)					
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:)

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the newly amended, canceled and added claims, the restriction requirement is revised as follows:

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-11, 20-21, 37-39 are, drawn to a catalyst member, an exhaust treatment apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 177.
- II. Claim 36 is, drawn to a method of treating exhaust gas a first catalyst, classified in class 423, subclass 212+.
- III. Claim 46 is, drawn to a method of treating exhaust gas using a second catalyst, classified in class 423, subclass 212+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions (II, III) and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as the process of manufacturing a chemical compound.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs,

modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different functions and different mode of operation. The method for treating exhausting gas in Invention II uses a total different catalyst then the method for treating exhausting gas in Invention III.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1356. The examiner can normally be reached on Part time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,195

Art Unit: 1754

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754

Page 5

nmn

February 5, 2007